HNF-20082 Revision 0

Compliance Monitoring Report for Petroleum Contaminated Soil Associated with Underground Storage Tanks 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41



EDMC

C J Clement, Fluor Hanford

March 2004

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Fluor Hanford

P.O. Box 1999 Richland, Washington

Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

Source Mardal 2-16-2004
Clearance Approval

N/A
Release Approval (etamp)

Approved for Public Release (Upon receipt of Clearance approval) Further Dissemination Unlimited

Foru	For use with Technical Documents (when appropriate)											
EDC-		FMP-										
EDT-		ECN-	•									
Project No		Division ENV										
Document Type RPT		Page Count 37										

For use with Speeches, Articles, or Presentations (when appropriate)											
Abstract	Summary	9	Full Paper	Visual Aid							
Conference Name		The state of the s									
Conference Date											
Conference Location		, Å									
Conference Sponsor)									
Published in											
Publication Date		•									

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER

Reference harein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors

Scientific or technical information is available to U.S. Government and U.S. Government contractor personnel through the Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI). It is available to others through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy

Printed in the United States of America

Compliance Monitoring Report for Petroleum Contaminated Soil Associated with Underground Storage Tanks 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41

U. S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office March 2004

Prepared by Fluor Hanford, Inc.

Executive Summary

In May of 1994, underground storage tanks (USTs) 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41, located south of the fire station in the 400 area of the Hanford Site in Benton County, Washington, were removed. Subsequent to the removal of the USTs, petroleum contaminated soil (PCS) was discovered at the excavation site. The PCS was excavated and the site sampled to verify cleanup. Those actions are described in the Site Characterization Report for the United States Department of Energy Underground Storage Tanks 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41, submitted to the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) in July of 1994 (ref. 1)

The removed PCS was placed into a plastic lined, berned bioremediation pit, located nearby the tank excavation site. On September 26, 1996, eight samples were taken. Diesel was detected above the then Method A cleanup levels specified in Chapter 173-340 of the Washington State Administrative Code (WAC 173-340), Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA) in 5 samples and heavy oil in one sample. Those results are discussed in the Site Assessment Report for the Hanford Site 400 Area Soil Cell, submitted to Ecology on May 21, 1996 (ref. 2). On April 26, 2001, the bioremediation area was resampled to verify remediation had occurred. All eleven samples showed total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) in the diesel and heavy oil range to be below the detection limit. Accordingly, this site has completed the corrective action under WAC 173-360

1.0 Purpose and Objective

This report has been prepared to show that the cleanup of the 400 Area Soil Cell has been achieved per the corrective action requirements of the Chapter 173-360 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC 173-360), *Underground Storage Tank Regulations*.

2.0 Background

The 400 Area Soil Cell is located within the south eastern portion of the 400 area the Hanford site, Benton County, Washington, nearby the 400 area Fire Station. The bioremediation area was used to actively bioremediate petroleum contaminated soil that was discovered and excavated subsequent to the removal of two underground storage tanks (USIs) in the 400 area. The two USTs, numbered 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41, were associated with the 400 area Fire Station. The tanks were used to store unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel for the 400 area fire station.

USTs 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41 were removed in May of 1994 PCS was discovered around the pump lines and removed. The remedial action for the site of USTs is discussed in the Site Characterization Report for the United States. Department of Energy Underground Storage Tanks 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41, previously submitted to Ecology on July 27, 1994 (ref. 1)

The soils at the bioremediation area were initially sampled on September 25, 1995. The range of the results in the diesel range was from 49 to 380 mg/kg. The range of the results in the motor oil range was from <100 to 380 mg/kg. The results in the gasoline range were all <25 mg/kg. Those results were reported in the Site Assessment Report for the Hanford Site 400 Area Soil Cell, submitted to Ecology on July 27, 1994 (ref. 2).

The Waste Information Data system (WIDS) database identifies the UST site as "400-15, Diesel Fuel Tank Fitting Leak." While the 400 Area Soil Cell is not a WIDS site itself, it is discussed extensively in the WIDS "General Summary Report" of "400-15, Diesel Fuel Tank Fitting Leak"

3.0 Recent Sampling Results

The 400 Area Soil Cell was sampled again on April 26, 2001. Eleven samples were extracted from locations throughout the soil cell. These locations were selected at random per the criteria described in the Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell (Appendix 1). All eleven samples showed TPH levels in the diesel range below the method detection limit (MDL) of 25 mg/kg and below the MDL of 50 mg/kg for the motor oil extended range. The full analytical reports, including quality control data, are included in Appendix 2.

4.0 Deviations from the Sampling Plan

Except as noted below, sampling was conducted per the requirements outlined in the attached Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell (Appendix 1).

The following deviations occurred

• The Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell prescribed depth of samples, in addition to the x and y coordinates. The depth coordinates were based on an assumption of an average soil depth to liner of 36 inches. This turned out to be an overestimation, with maximum depth actually only 24 inches and as low as 11 inches in some places. Therefore, the depth required in the Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell could not be attained for five of the eleven samples. For these five samples, samples were extracted as deep as possible, and the actual depth was recorded. The following table compares the actual depth of these five samples with the depth originally prescribed in the Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell

		Soil Sampling	Depth	
Sample #	X-Axis	Y-Axis	Sampling Plan Depth (inches)	Actual Depth (inches)
400soil-07	52	2	30	11
400soil-08	2	29	34	12
400soil-09	3	44	30	18
400soil-10	41	56	33	14
400soil-11	46	41	31	17

- The Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell called for two field duplicates, based on requirements of the NWTPH-DX method for sets of samples between 11 and 20. However, only one field duplicate was taken. As documented in the Field Sampling Log included in Appendix 2, sample number 400soil-12 was a field duplicate of sample number 400soil-11. As shown in the analytical results, the results for these two samples were identical (both reported at below the MDL of 25 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg for diesel and motor oil, respectively). Given that no TPH was detected in any of the samples, the inadvertent omission of a second field duplicate is not considered to be significant.
- The Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell called for an equipment rinse sample to be taken and analyzed for NWTPH-Dx As recorded on the Chain of Custody form in Appendix 2, two equipment rinse samples were taken one a pre-rinse and one a post-rinse However, as

recorded in the comments section of the analytical report in Attachment 2, the laboratory dropped the sample container with the post-rinse sample and was not able to retrieve any of the sample for analyses. Given that no TPH was detected in any of the soil samples, this is not considered to be a significant loss.

5.0 Data Analyses

The Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell specified that the criteria from WAC 173-340-740(7)(e)¹ would be used to determine if the results meet cleanup standards. Since all the results were below the detection limit, this is a formality. For completeness, however, that analyses is described below.

The specific method used to evaluate compliance with the soil cleanup levels is a confidence interval approach that sequires the following:

- A decision rule based on a one-tailed test of the null hypothesis that the true soil concentration exceeds the soil cleanup level.
- Statistical tests at a Type I error level of 0 05
- An upper confidence level on the true soil concentration less than the soil cleanup level
- No single concentration greater than two times the soil cleanup level
- Less than 10% of the samples exceeding the soil cleanup level

Statistical analysis of the sampling results for the 400 Area Soil Cell show that each criterion for considering the soil cell clean has been met—Statistical methods were performed in accordance with guidance found in the Statistical Guidance for Ecology Site Managers (ref 3) and Miller & Freund's Probability & Statistics for Engineers (ref 4)

WAC 173-340-740 describes how to handle sample results reported at below the MDL. For purposes of demonstrating compliance with soil cleanup levels, such measurements are to be reported at one-half the MDL. Therefore, for the purpose of the statistical methods described below, the results for all eleven samples are considered to be 12.5 mg/kg and 25 mg/kg for diesel and motor, respectively (one-half the MDL)

Testing of the Null Hypothesis

The null hypothesis is that the true soil concentration exceeds the soil cleanup level of 200 mg/kg. If it can be shown through statistical analysis that the null hypothesis is unlikely, then the alternate can be accepted, which is that true soil concentrations are below the cleanup level. A Type I error would be a situation in

¹ This citation corresponds to MTCA prior to the February 12, 2001 amendments (effective on August 15, 2001) This is allowed by the current MTCA regulations under WAC 173-340-702(12)(b).

which the area is considered to be clean, when in fact it is really above the cleanup level. Statistical analysis must be able to show that the chance of rejecting the null hypothesis when it is in fact correct is no more than 5%, or 1 in 20

. Per Miller & Freund, the null hypothesis can be rejected if $Z \prec Z\alpha$, where

$$Z = \underline{X - \mu o}$$
 and, σ / \sqrt{n}

 $\alpha = 0.05$

X = sample mean, or 12 5 mg/kg for diesel

μο = null hypothesis number, or 200 mg/kg

o = sample standard deviation (0 in this case since all values were the same)

n = number of samples (11 in this case)

The formula thus becomes,

$$Z = 12.5 \text{mg/kg} - 200 \text{mg/kg}$$

 $0/\sqrt{11}$

Given that there is a zero in the denominator2, the answer is,

$$Z = 0$$

The value for $Z_{0.05}$ is 1 645 for a one-sided test, as given in Table 3 of reference 4 Since Z (0) is less than Z α (1.645), we can reject the null hypothesis that the true soil concentration exceeds the cleanup level

Determining the Upper Confidence Limit

The guidance requires the 95% Upper Confidence Interval (UCL) to be below the cleanup level of 200 mg/kg. Stated otherwise, this means that the true soil population will be under the UCL 95% of the time

² This would also be true for the motor oil range

The UCL is defined in Ecology's Statistical Guidance as.

UCL = x + t 1- a atn-1 degrees of freedom s/√n, where:

X = sample mean (12 5mg/kg for diesel in this case) $t_{1-\alpha \text{ at } n-1 \text{ degrees of freedom}} = 1.812$, as given in Table 4 of reference 4 s = standard deviation (0 in this case since all results are the same)n = number of samples (11 in this case)

The formula thus becomes,

UCL = 12 5mg/kg + 1.812 x $0/\sqrt{11}$, or

UCL = 12 5mg/kg (since there is a zero in the numerator)

Therefore, this criterion is met, since 12 5mg/kg³ is less than cleanup level of 200 mg/kg.

Other Criteria

The two remaining criteria that must be satisfied are obviously met, given that all values were reported at below the MDL. If all values are read as 12 5 mg/kg for diesel and 25 mg/kg for motor oil, then it is clear that no single value was greater than the soil cleanup level of 200 mg/kg, and that not more than 10% of the results exceeded this limit.

6.0 Conclusions and Future Actions

Using WAC 173-340 as the cleanup standard, the bioremediation area has met the corrective action requirements of WAC 173-360. The bioremediation area is also considered closed under WAC 173-304. The soil will be reused onsite (e.g., as fill or cover) The closure of the bioremediation area should now allow the WIDS site "400-15, Diesel Fuel Tank Fitting Leak" to also be closed out in WIDS.

³ The result would be 25mg/kg for motor oil, which is also less than 200 mg/kg.

7.0 References

- Letter, S. H. Wisness, RL, to S. M. Alexander, Ecology, "Underground Storage Tank 400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41 Closure Form and Site Assessment Report," 94-RPS-284, dated July 27, 1994
- Letter, J. R. Rasmussen, RL, to S. M. Alexander, Ecology, "Site Assessment Report for the Hanford Site 400 Area Soil Cell," 96-EAP-107, dated May 21, 1996.
- 3. Statistical Guidance for Ecology Site Managers, 92-55, Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, August 1992
- Johnson, Richard A, Miller and Freund's Probability and Statistics for Engineers, Prentice Hall, 1994

Appendix 1

Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell, April 2001

(12 pages, including cover page)

Sampling and Analysis Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell

Prepared by R.A. Del Mar

DynCorp Tri-Cities Services, Inc.

April 2001

Sampling Plan for Closure of 400 Area Soil Cell

1.0 Purpose and Objective

This sampling plan is being prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), WAC 173-340-820 (ref. 1) Results from this sampling will be used to determine if total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) levels in the soil being treated in the 400 Area Soil Cell have decreased to below the Method A soil cleanup levels for TPH specified in WAC 173-340-740 (ref. 2) The MTCA Method A cleanup levels are provided in Table 1

Table 1 - Method A Cleanup)	Levels for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
Hazardous Substance	Cleanup Level
Total petroleum hydrocarbon (gasolme)	100 mg/kg - soil
Total petroleum hydrocarbon (diesel)	200 mg/kg - soil
Total petroleum hydrocarbon (other	200 mg/kg – soil
[heavier than diesel])	\$\\

The 400 Area Soil Cell is located within the boundaries of the 400 Area of the Hanford Site in Benton County, Washington. The soil cell was used to stockpile petroleum-contaminated soil discovered during the removal of two underground storage tanks (400-FS-40 and 400-FS-41) in 1994. The soil was placed over a high-density polyethylene liner and is berined on all four sides for an effective depth of approximately 3.5 feet, within a surface area of approximately 6,000 square feet.

The soil cell was last sampled in 1996 (ref. 3). These results are given in Table 2. Eight samples were taken along two diagonal paths from the corner of the cell. No TPH in the gasoline range was detected, five of the eight samples detected TPH in the diesel range above 200 mg/kg, and one of the eight samples detected TPH in the heavy oil range.

Table 2 – Previous Sampling Results										
Sample ID#	Gasoline - mg/kg	Diesel – mg/kg	Henry Oil - mg/kg							
400-SOILCELL-1	<20	380	380							
400-SOILCELL-2	<20	320	<100							
400-SOILCELL-3	<20	300	<100							
400-SOILCELL-4	<20	350	<100							
400-SOILCELL-5	<20	130	<100							
400-SOILCELL-6	<20	49	<100							
400-SOILCELL-7	<20	150	<100							
400-SOILCELL-8	<20	380	<100							

2.0 Organization/Responsibilities

Sampling will be performed by members of the DynCorp Tri-Cities Services, Inc. (DYN)
Environmental Programs and Compliance (EPC) organization. At least two samplers will
participate in this activity. Samplers will be trained in RCRA sampling protocols and
responsibilities, and will be qualified to enter hazardous waste areas through OSHA 24-hr or 40-hr
Hazardous Waste Operations (HAZWOPER) training, as required in 29 CFR 1910 120 (ref. 4)
EPC staff will also be responsible for maintaining chain-of-custody control of samples through
delivery to the analytical laboratory

Samples will be analyzed at the Hanford Site Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility (WSCF) located near Richland, Washington. The WSCF laboratory is accredited in the State of Washington to perform TPH analysis using both the NWTPH-GA and NWTPH-DA methods. If

for some reason the analysis cannot be performed at WSCF, WSCF will arrange for the shipment of the samples to Laucks Testing Laboratory in Seattle, also accredited for both the NWTPH-G and NWTPH-Dx methods. The laboratory will be responsible for performing analysis in accordance with all method requirements, including quality assurance/quality control protocols and for performing all data validation activities.

DYN EPC will interpret the analytical data and perform all statistical analysis required to show whether or not the soils will meet cleanup standards

3.0 Sampling Requirements

- The following serves as a checklist of the minimum-required sampling equipment to be used for this activity
 - · Chain-of-custody form
 - · Field sampling log
 - · Hand shovel and trowel
 - Latex gloves
 - Recyclable shop towels
 - Sample containers
 - Sample labels
 - Safety glasses
 - Substantial footwear
 - Cellular phone
 - Sealable plastic bags
 - Ice chest with blue ice
 - Markers or flags to document location of samples
 - Tape measure
 - String and stakes to identify sampling points
 - · Hammer to drive in stakes
 - Empty bucket for mixing soil
 - Water/bucket for rinsing sampling equipment
 - Water pump sprayer

3 2 Identification/Justification for Sample Points

Sampling points are shown in Table 3. To ensure accuracy of the sampling data, locations were selected using a 3-dimensional random number generation tool provided as part of the Automatic Sampling and Analysis Planner software package (ref. 5). The limits of the X- and Y-axis were 80 and 74 feet respectively. The depth of the soil cell is non-uniform, ranging from 2 to 3.5 feet. An average depth of 3 feet (36 inches) was used for purposes of calculating the Z (depth) coordinates.

The soil cell was mapped and an imaginary grid was created over the sampling area Samples will be taken at the specified depth where the corresponding X and Y coordinates meet. Sampling points are charted in Table 4

Based on an average soil depth of 3 feet, total volume of soil in the 400 Area Soil Cell is 17,760 cubic feet (657 cubic yards) Per Washington State Department of Ecology guidance contained in Guidance for Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soils (ref 6) a minimum of 7 samples need to be taken for this volume of soil. However, 11 samples will be taken in order to increase the precision of the data

Table 3



2D/3D Sampling Grids

04/25/2000 14 42 10

Project Label...: 400 AREA SOIL CELL.

Data Sel 3-D Unlayered

Unit (ft)

_	Data Set	X-Axls	Y-Axīs	Z(depth)-Coordinate (inches)
, 	. 1	54	5	7
	2	61	27	18
	3	. 73	71	50 🐈
	4	26	35	Control of the contro
	5	. 37	62	1
	6	45	36	8 🐧
	7	52	2	30
	8	2	29	34
	9	3	44	30
•	10	41	56	33
	11	48	41	31

Table 4
Plot of Sampling Points for 400 Area Soil Cell

4		r e	2 /	· .	ξο' <u>ξ</u>	4 2	/ 3	74 /	Çe	/ +	->	4 4	2 4	F2 .	56	60	64 6	72	
1							. 8					q							_
																			_
-					,						·								_
-								- Giffige.				·							
									34										
						20.00		4.				1							
	: 				-								`						
_	· · · · · · · ·																		
																5*			
					-		-								10	. •			
	<u></u>			-	-		-	6		-	•11								
			-	-		1		1.								-			
7	ارو		-	-	╁	-		-		-								1	
	-			┼	-	+	1	1								:			
				-	-	2		+	+										
		╂—	-	-	-	- - ⁻		 	1		 						T_{-}		
	-	-	-		+-	+-	+		+	-	1,	 	 	1	1		;		
	-	-	+-	-				-	\dagger	1		-	1	1				3.	
	-	1	+-	-	+-	-	-	+-	十	}	-	1	1	+	1				

3 3 Method of Sampling

Samples will be extracted using a hand shovel

3 4 Sample Collection and Handling Requirements

A job-specific Automated Job Hazards Analysis (AJHA) has been prepared specific to this sampling activity to ensure that all risks/hazards are identified and planned for Samplers will adhere to requirements outlined in the AJHA when entering soil cell area to take samples

The sampling point X and Y coordinates will be measured off and marked at each side of the soil cell. String will be used to connect the markers at each opposite side of the soil cell. The intersection of the string for each respective sample coordinates will indicate the appropriate sampling location.

One shovel full of soil will be removed from each sampling point at the depth specified. The soil will be mixed in a buckel and a portion of that mixture will be placed in the sample container. The remaining soil will be returned to the sampling location. A minimum of 75 grams is required for each sample to run all required analysis. Samples will be collected in wide-mouthed 500-ml amber glass containers with Teflon lids. A flag-type marker indicating the corresponding sample identification number will be placed at each sample location.

The lid of the sample container will be hand-tightened, and the container will be labeled, wrapped in a plastic bag, and placed in an ice chest packed with blue ice packs

Any residual soil remaining on the shovelhead will be physically removed before proceeding to the next sampling point. In addition, the shovelhead and mixing bucket will be rinsed in water and wiped down between each sample to prevent cross-contamination between sampling points. The rinse water will be sampled for TPH in the diesel range prior to and at the conclusion of sampling activities. Rmse water will be collected in 1L amber glass sample bottles and be preserved in the field with hydrochloric acid (HCL) to a pH of 2. Two 1L sample bottles will be required for each rinse water sample.

3 5 Management of Waste Generated by Sampling Activities

The sampling shovel/towel will be wet-wiped using a damp rag following sampling activities. Any loose dut will be shaken off disposable gloves, rags etc used during sampling operations. Rags will be recyclable shop towels, and will be returned to the nearest recycling location. Other waste items will be sealed in a plastic bag with a non-regulated sticker and disposed of as non-regulated waste. This is justified because the soil constituents are known from previous sampling and even under a worst-case scenario where TPH levels are still the same, items contaminated with the soil would not, under any circumstance, designate as a dangerous waste.

Runse water will be kept at a minimum and is not expected to exceed 5 gallons. At the completion of sampling, it will be disposed of through the 400 Area sanitary sewer system.

Soil samples will be retrieved from the laboratory within 30 days of receipt of satisfactory sampling results and be returned to the soil cell area

3 6 Quality Assurance/Quality Control Requirements

A field log will be kept to document all pertinent information related to the sampling activity (see Field Sampling log in Appendix A) All entries in the log will be completed, including date and signature of the person completing the log

Two duplicate samples will be collected to measure the precision of the sampling process, consistent with the guidance provided by Ecology in Analytical Methods for Petroleum Hydrocarbons (ref. 7) The duplicate will be collected from the same location and at the same time as other samples from two of the 11 identified sampling points. The duplicate will be collected in a separate sampling container with separate labeling, and will be analyzed independently. Prior to placing soil in sample containers, soil from each duplicate sampling locations will be maxed to ensure a uniform distribution. The soil from the respective mixtures will then be transferred into the appropriate primary and duplicate sample containers. The identity of the duplicates will be kept from the laboratory to prevent bias in analyzing the results.

Samples will be preserved in the field by cooling in an ice chest packed with blue ice Each individual sample container will be hand tightened and packaged separately in a sealable plastic bag prior to placing in the ice chest to prevent cross contamination. The sample shovel/trowel and mixing bucket will be rinsed in water and wiped down between each sample to prevent cross contamination. At the conclusion of sampling one sample will be taken of the rinse water solution for TPH in the diesel range to measure the effectiveness of the rinse process. A sample will also be taken of the rinse water prior to sampling to provide a baseline for TPH levels in the rinse water. Rinse water sample bottles will be preserved with HCL.

To ensure that samples are as representative as possible of the soil in the cell area, sampling locations were selected using a random number generation program. The soil cell area was divided into quarters. The criterion used in acceptance of coordinates generated by the random generation program was that there be at least two samples in each of the four quarters. The program was rerun until coordinates were generated that met this criterion.

3 7 Sample Labeling and Chain-of-Custody Requirements

Each sample will be labeled with waterproof ink. The label will be affixed firmly to the appropriate sample container and include the following information

- Name of collector
- Date and time of collection
- Place of collection
- Description of material being sampled (i.e., TPH-contaminated soil)
- Unique sample number corresponding to sample identification number on the chainof-custody
- · Specific analysis required
- Preservation requirements (i e , cool to 4 degrees C ± 2 degrees)

All samples will be recorded on a chain of custody form. The primary purpose of the chain-of-custody form is to create a written record to trace the possession and handling of the sample from the moment of collection through analysis and eventual disposal. Hanford Site Form BC-6000-828 will be used to record the chain-of-custody

The sample will remain in custody of the DYN EPC sampler through transfer to the analytical laboratory. A sample is in someone's custody under any of the following conditions.

- The sample is in one's actual possession
- The sample is within view, after being in one's physical possession
- The sample is in a locked area to prevent unauthorized personnel from tampering with it
- The sample is in a secured area, restricted to authorized personnel only.

The following information will be recorded on the chain-of-custody

- Name and address of analytical laboratory doing the analysis
- Name, address, phone number, and fav number of client (i.e., DYN EPC) contact person
- Billing information
- · Required turnaround time
- Project name for future reference to help identify the sampling act, including name
 of personnel involved in sampling.
- List of all unique sample identification numbers, description (i.e., size and type) of sampling container tied to each number, date and time sample was added to each container, and specific analysis requested for each
- Preservation and holding time requirements, as appropriate
- Under the comments section, list any project-specific information that might be
 useful to lab in running analysis, such as detection limit requirements, process
 knowledge, etc

At the time of turnover to laboratory, DYN EPC sampler will sign and date chain-ofcustody, including time of sample turnover Laboratory representative will do the same, and make a copy of chain-of-custody to be retained by DYN EPC sampler Original chain-of-custody will remain with sample throughout analytical process.

Should samples require shipment to an offsite laboratory, the sample collector will package toe chest to prevent shifting/movement of sample containers during transportation, including enough blue toe to maintain the samples at the desired temperature during transportation. Sample containers will be wrapped with strapping tape to ensure that the toe chest does not open during transportation. The chain-of-custody and appropriate slupping documentation will accompany the ice chest. Samples will be shipped offsite through the transportation organization at 1162 building

Note Based on previous sampling results, samples will not meet the definition of a DOT hazardous material in 49 CFR 171 8. In lieu of a shipping paper, an offsite property control from will be used (form number BC-6001-579)

4.0 Sample Analysis and Reporting Requirements

4.1 Detection Limit

Cleanup level requirements are given in Table 1 Based on previous sampling history, analysis will be limited to hydrocarbons in the diesel and heavy oil ranges using method NWTPH-Dx Applicable detection limits are as follows

- 25 mg/kg for the diesel range
- 100 mg/kg for the heavy oil range

4.2 Analytical Techniques and Procedures

Per Ecology guidance provided in Analytical Methods for Petroleum Hydrocarbons (ref 7), if the source of hydrocarbon contaminants is unknown, soil samples are to be screened using analytical method NWTPH-HCID. This method is a qualitative and semi-quantitative screen to determine the presence and type of petroleum products in the sample. However, since the type of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is known from previous sampling, the screening step will be skipped and all soil samples will be analyzed for TPH in the diesel/heavy oil ranges using method NWTPH-Dx

All soil samples will be preserved at the laboratory at 4 degrees Celsius (± 2 degrees Celsius) prior to extraction. The maximum holding time of the sample prior to extraction is 14 days.

43 Quality Assurance/Quality Control Requirements

The prescribed analytical methods require one method blank for every 20 samples and two duplicates for every set of samples between 11 and 20. Two field duplicates will be provided since these methods preclade preparation of analytical duplicates. Appropriate extraction surrogates will be added to all samples and method blank. The surrogate recovery for all samples must be between 50% and 150%.

4.4 Data Reporting/Validation Procedures

The laboratory will prepare a full report outlying all sample results. Non-detected analytes will be reported as less than the respective detection limit. A full quality control report will be generated, including reporting of surrogate recovery rates. Any samples outside of the prescribed surrogate recovery limits will be flagged and renin. Preliminary results of sampling will be faxed to DYN sample point-of-contact as soon as they are available. The laboratory will validate all sample results through an internal quality assurance process. Validated results will be mailed to the DYN point-of-contact to become the official sampling record.

The criteria established in WAC 173-340-740 (7)(e) will be used determine if the data meets cleanup standards. This criteria is as follows.

- The upper confidence interval of the soil sampling data must be less than the
 respective cleanup level requirements, as listed in Table 1 Statistical tests shall be
 performed at a Type I error level of 0 05
- No single concentration can be greater than two times the respective cleanup level.
- Less than 10% of the samples can exceed the respective cleanup level

The upper confidence interval of soil sampling data will be established using guidance provided by Ecology in Statistical Guidance for Ecology Site Managers (ref. 8). As appropriate, the Ecology-issued statistical software package, MTCAStat (ref. 9) will be used to analyze the data

5.0 References

- 1 Washington Administrative Code, WAC 173-340-820, Sampling and Analysis Plans
- 2 Washington Administrative Code, WAC 173-340-740, Soil Cleanup Standards
- 3 Hill, J S, and D L Klages, Site Assessment for the Hanford Site 400 Area Soil Cell, correspondence # 9651893D, May 1996.

- 4 OSHA Regulations, 29 CFR 1910 120, Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response
- 5 Potomac-Hudson Engineering, Inc., Automated Sampling and Analysis Planner, User's Manual Version 10
- 6 Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program Guidance for Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Solls, 91-30 (revised 11/95)
- 7 Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program and the Ecology Environmental Laboratory, Analytical Methods for Petroleum Hydrocarbons, ECY 97-502, June 1997
- 8 Waslungton State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, Statistical Guidance for Ecology Site Managers, 92-55, August 1992
- 9 Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, MTCAStat 2.1

6.0 Forms

BC-6000-828, Chain of Custody/Sample Analysis Request BC-6001-579, Offsite Property Control

Appendix A Field Sampling Log

Appendix A

Field Sampling Log

Date Time Sampling Start	ted Time	Sampling Complete	d	
Location	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Waste Stream	Material	
Purpose of Sampling				
			•	
Weather Conditions				
Sampling Method	300			·
Where Sample(s) Taken From _	* &*			
Physical State of Waste/Material	1			
Field MeasurementspH	Temp	p	Other	•
Waste Components/Concentration	ons (if known)			<u> </u>
Sample Identification or Trackin	g Number			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Container(s)		Analysis Requ	ıred	
	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
the state of the s				
Field Observations				

Appendix 2

Analytical Data Package

(Contents include DynCorp Field Sampling Log, Chain of Custody/Sample Analysis Requests, Generator Knowledge Information Form, WSCF Analytical Laboratory Report and Narrative for the Appended Data of the 400 Area Soils Project)

(14 pages, including cover page)

DynCorp Field Sampling Log Date 4/260/ Time Sampling Started 8:30 Time Sampling Completed 10:00 Location 400 Area The Stohon Waste Stream/Material Soi/ Purpose of Sampling Show soil cell has med MTCA cleaned standards for TPH in diesel RAME Weather Conditions Sunny, bruezy Sampling Method Shove / Heavel Yarah Where Sample(s) Taken From Random locations specified in sampling plan Physical State of Waste/Material Field Measurements: ____pH Temp. Other Waste Components/Concentrations (if known) Sample Identification or Tracking Number 400 soil-Ol-through - 14 Container(s) Analysis Required 40050il-Q+haugh-12 Field Observations Overestims ted depth to lines at 3 Feet. depth was actually only about 24 inches. was not able to get doen to depth appointed in sampling plan for 5 samples (#57,8, 9 10, 11) Actal sampling depth noted and will be reconcied in Final Report. Sample Collector(s) Kon Del Mar. Sail Martinez

•			CHAIN	OF C	JSTODY/SAN	IPLE ANAL	YSIS REQ	UEST		.* .		C.O.C. No	0426	i01	
									•			Page	1	of 2	
Collector ton Del Mar					Contact/Reques	tor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Telephone No	376-2186	M		FAX 3°	2-1694	
SAF No.			:		Sample Ongm	Sample Origin 400 Area Soil Cell					Purchase Order/Charge Code 183e66- cx30 //-3 27/4				
roject Title Storemediation ce	li Cleanup Ver	.ficat	lon		Logbook No.	Lagbook No.					Ice Chest No. Temp.				
Shipped To (Lab)					Method of Ships Hand Carried	Method of Shipment									
Protocol full QC					Data Tumaroun 30 days	d			Offsite Prope	aty No					
Sample No.	Lab ID	•	Date	Time	No./Type Container			Sam	sie Analysis	-		***************************************		Preservative	
100soil-01		s	4/26/01	9:37	1 x 500 mlaG	NWTPH-DK								Cool	
€0 03 011-02		s	4126/01	9:40	1 × 500 mlaG	NWTPH-Dx)							Cool	
400Soil-03		s	4/26/61	9:43	1 x 500 mlsG	NWTPH-Dx								Cool	
400Soil-04		s	4/26/01	9:17	1 x 500 mlaG	NWTPH-Dx							•	Cool	
400Soil-05		3	9/26/4	9.20	1 x 500 mlag	NWTPH-Dx								Cool	
4005011-06	<u>.</u>	s	4260	9:33	1 x 500 htms/	MMTPH-Dx						-		Cool	
400Soil-07	<u></u>	s	4/26/01	9:35	1 x 500 mlaG	NYTPH-Dx	والمعارض وا	Acces 10	No.					Cool	
400Soil-08		5	4/24/61	9:10	1 x 500 mlaG	NRTSH-DX		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	·			Cool	
400So11-09		s	4/26/01	9:14	1 x 500 mlaG	NWEPH-Dx		······································	·					Cool	
4005011-10		s	4/26/01	9:23	1 × 500 mlaG	MMTPH-Dx	Toppole me		·					Cool	
standard of 2	o verify class 00 mg/kg. S	nup Liqui	of diesel- ng of this	contamin	m) MSDS []Y mated moil below eformed in 1996 a 49 to 380 mg/k	the HICA showed TPH	SPECIALINS	KOCHONS				rioid Time Holding t: extraction			
Reinquished By RA Oct	Man /	1/2	Sun M	nn 4	Date/Time F	leben ar gy	Print 2	Skin	10 6	Date/Time			Azurbe	_	
Reinquished By					Dale/Time F	teceived By				Date/Time	SE	= Soli = Sediment = Solid		= Drum Solids = Drum Liquids	
Relinquished By			:		Date/Time (Received By			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dale/Time	SL	– Skidge = Skidge = Water	W	™ Titsue= Wipe≈ Liquid	
Relinquished By					Date/Time 1	Received By	**************************************			Date/Time	0	= Oii	V X	➤ Vegetation= Other	
FINDESAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	(eg,	Return to cu	stomer, per	lab procedure, used	in process)	Disp	osed By			<u> </u>	Dat	e/T)ime		

All samples containing hazardous materials shall be picked up by requestor and returned to parent container or site of origin

			0114111	or of	IOTODVICA BI	DIT ANAL'	Veie DEGLIEST		C.O.C. No.	042601
		-	CHAIN	OF GU	IS TOD VISAIM	PLE ANAL	YSIS REQUEST		1 494	2 of <u>2</u>
lector Del Har		•		·	Contact/Request	or		Telephone No. 376-2186	G3-26	AX
FNo				5	Sample Origin 400 Area Soil	Cell		Purchase Order/Charge Co		
ject Title	cell Cleanup Ve	itica	tion .		Logbook No.			loe Chest No.	Temp,	
pped To (Lab)				·	Method of Shipm	ent		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No		
cr Nocol					Data Tumaround			Offsite Property No.		
11 QC Sample No.	1.ab ID	-	Date	Time	No Type Container		Sa	mple Analysis		Preservative
000011-11		5	4/25/1	9:28	1 x 500 mlaG	NWTPH-Dx				Cool
Øsoil-12		s	4/26/01	9:23	1 x 500 mlaG	NWTPH-DX	*			Cool
00Soil-13	·	1.4	M2239	8,30	2 x 1 Liter aG	интри-дж				HCL.
005oll-14		H	4/26/01	9152	2 x l Liter aG	NWTPH-Dx				HCL
		1	,,-,-							
							white the second	and the second	_	
							tri tri			
			1			·				
Sampling is	to verify cle	апир 1.Ісма	of diesel- ng of thi	-contami: s area p	es) MSDS [Y nated soil below erformed in 1996 m 49 to 380 mg/k	the HTCR showed TPR	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS Water samples preserv	ved in field with HCL to	•	ime prior to n is 14 days.
Relinginghed By	May /	The State of the S	M	mi .	4/26/01/11:10	V B	DE Print DE Stan	24/26/01 11110	S = Soil	Matrix* DS = Drum Solid
Reimquished B	ÿ				Date/Time 1	Received By		Date/Time	SE = Sediment	DL = Drum Liqui
Relinquished B	у		. 	<u> </u>	Date/Time	Received By		Date/Time	SL = Skidge W = Water	T = Tissue Wi = Wipa L = Liquid
Relinquished 8	Зу				Date/Tuna	Received By		Date/Time	O = 011	V = Vegetation
1	· ·					4 .		· ·	TA FAI	X = Other

Principalitation of the Co. Co.



WSCF ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORT

for

DYNCORP TRI-CITIES SERVICES, INC. P.O. BOX 1400 G3-26 RICHLAND WA 99352

Attention: Ron Del Mar G3-26 FX 2-1694

teport#: 20010610
teport Date: 29-may-2001
teport W004/ver. 3.1
>YNCORP TRI-CITIES SERVICES, INC.

WSCF ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORT

Attention:

Ron Del Mar G3-26 FX 2-1694 DYNCORP WSTE:DYNCORP WASTE PROJECTS

Group #:

20010610

ple#	Client ID		Test Performed	Matrix	Method RQ	Result	Units	MDL	Analyzed	Sampled	Received
C00056	400\$0IL-01	DELMAR	Total Pet Hydrocarbons Diesel	SOLID	WIPH-D (WDOE	<25	mg/kg	25 00	05/04/01	04/26/01	04/26/01
C00067	40080IL-02	DEL MAR	Total Pat. Hydrocarbons Diesel	SOLID	BOOM OHRIW	<25,	mgikg	25,00	D5/04/01	04/26/01	04/26/01
000058	400501L-03	DEL MAR	Total Pat, Hydrocarbons Diesal	SOLID	WITH D (WDOE	< 25.	mg/kg	25 00	05/23/01	04/28/01	04/25/01
C00055	40050IL-04	DEL MAR	Total Pat Hydrocarbons Desel	SOLIO	WTPH-D (WDOE	<26	mg/kg	25 00	05/04/01	04/26/01	04(28/01
00000	400SOIL-05	DEL MAR	Total Pet Hydrocarbons Dusel	SOLID	200WJ G-KSTW	<25	mg/kg	25 00	05/04/01	04/26/01	-04/26/01
000061	4005011-05	DEL MAR	Total Pot Hydrocarbons Diesel	\$OLID	WTPH-D (WDDE	<25.	mg/kg	25 00	05/04/01	04/26/01	04/26/01
000052	400SQTL-07	DEL MAR	Total Pet Hydrocarbona Diesel	SOLID	WTPH-D (WDOE	<26.	mg/kg	25 00	05/23/01	04/26/01	04/26/01
E00000	4005011-08	DEL MAR	Total Pat Hydrocarbone Diesel	SÓLID	WTPH-D (WDOE	<25	mg/kg	25 00	05/04/01	04/26/01	04/26/01
C00064	40050IL-08	DEL MAR	Total Pet Hydrocurbons Dussel	SOLID	WTPH-D (WDOE	< 25	mg/kg	25 00	05/23/01	04/28/01	04/25/01
C00065	40050H-10	DEL MAR	Total Pet Hydrocarbons Diesel	SOLID	WIPH-D (WDOE	<25	mg/kg	25 00	05/23/01	04/26/01	04/25/01
000066	400SQR-11	DEL MAR	Total Pet Hydrocarbons Dissel	SOLID	300W) O-H9TW	< 25.	mg/kg	25 00	05/04/01	04/25/01	04/26/01
C00067	400SOIL-12	DEL MAR	Total Pot Hydrocarisons Diesel .	SOLED	WTPH-D (WDOE	<25.	mg/kg	25 00	05/04/01	04/26/01	04/26/01
C00058	40050jt-13	DEL MAR	Total Pat Hydrocarbons Dissel	WATER	WITH D IWOOR	<0 S	mg/L	0 50	05/04/01	04/26/01	04/26/01
000000	400SOIL-14-	DEL MAR	Total Pot Hydrocarbons Dissel	WATER	BOOW) GHYTW	SecComment	mg/L	0 50	05/24/01	04/26/01	04/26/01

MDL=Minimum Detection Limit

RQ=Result Qualifier

Report W004/ver. 3.1

DYNCORP TRI-CITIES SERVICES, INC.

B - The analyte was detected in the associated method blank

E - Compound concentration exceeded calebration range

N - Identification is based on a mass spectral library search

D - Compound concentration resulted from a dilution

J - Estunated value

Z - Sau Comments

U - The analyte was analyzed for but not detected

^{* -} Indicates regults that have NOT been validated

WSCF ANALYTICAL COMMENT REPORT

Attention: Project Number

Client ID

Ron Del Mar G3-26 FX 2-1694 DYNCORP WSTE

Group #:

20010610

Lab Arca

Test

Comment

YALGROUP

TPHD-The extract for sample DC69 was lost due to glassware A britishing No sample, for repeat wax available because the second sample bottle was used for the matrix spike dup. analysis Since the recovery of the MSD was 103%, the unspited sample could not have contained a detectable amount of diesal cgo

Soll samples DC58, DC62, DC64, DC65 required re-extraction and re-analysis due to below spendication simogate recovery. The sumugate recovery for the re-analysis passes. OC acceptance entena lege

Lab Areas:

VALGROUP - Group Validation LOGSAMP - Login for Sample

VALTEST - Test Validation LOGTEST - Login for Tests

MARKET COMME

生产的

and the state of t

TESTDATA - Test Data Entry

This report may not be reproduced, except in its endiety without the written approval of the WSCF Laboratory

29-may-2001 07:11:32

W13q Worklist/Batch/QC Report for Group# 20010610

MT#	S#	Batch	QC#	Tray Type	Sample#	Test	٠.			
14690	1	15074	17050	BLANK		WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	2	15074	17050	LCS				Diesel		(Wa)
14690	3	15074	17050	Sample	W01DC00056			Diesel		(Wa)
14690	4	15074	17050	SAMPLE	W01DC00057	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	5	15074	17050	Sample	W01DC00058	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	
14690	б	15074	17050	Sample	W01DC00059	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	. 7	15074	17050	Sample	W01DC00060	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	8	15074	17050	Sample	W01DC00061			Diesel		(Wa)
14690	9	15074	17050	Sample	W01DC00062	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	10	15074	17050	SAMPLE	W01DC00063	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	11	15074	17050	SAMPLE	W01DC00064	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	13	15074	17050	MS	W01DC00065	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	14	15074	17050	MSD	W01DC00065	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	12	15074	17050	SAMPLE	W01D000065	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14690	14	15074	17050	SPK-RPD	W01DC00065			Diesel		(Wa)
14690	15	15074	17050	Sample	W01DC00066			Diesel		
14690	16	15074	17050	Sample	W01D@00067	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
			•		₹			*		
14691	1	15076	17051	BLANK		WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14691	2	15076	17051	LCS _		WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14691	4	15076	17051	MS	W01DC00068	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14691	3	15076	17051	SAMPLE '	W01DC00068	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14691	5	15076	17051	MSD	W01DC00069	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
14691	6	15076	17051	SAMPLE	W01DC00069	WTPH-D	TPH	Diesel	Range	(Wa)
•					•					

WSCF ANALYTICAL LABORATORY QC REPORT

SDG Number: 20010610 Matrix: SOLID Test: WTPH-D TPH Diesel Range (Wa)

SAF Number: N/A Sample Date: 04/26/01 Receive Date: 04/26/01

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,						
QC Type	Analyte	CAS#	Results	Units	Analysis Date	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
							_	
Lab ID	: W01DC00065 I QC ASSOCIATED WIT	H SAMPLE		•				
мз 🎋	Total Pet. Hydrocarbona Diesal	***	120 000	% Recov	· 05/24/01 、	75 000	125 000	*
MSD	Total Pat Hydrocarbons Diesel	•	110 000	% Recov	05/04/01	75 000	125 000	
SPK-RPD	, Total Pát Hýdrocarbona Diesel	•	- 2 C9 S	RPD	-05/04/01	ð 000	20 000	
BATCH BLANK LCS	I QC Total Pot Hydrocarbone Diesel Total Pet Hydrocarbone Diesel		0 105 000	mg/L ¼ Reboy	05/24/01 05/24/01	80 000	300 000 120 000	. .
Lab ID: BATCH	W01DC00068 I QC ASSOCIATED WIT Ţġţţt ţţţ, ijyŋţġġţġţţţ, IJţśńt, ১%	H SAMPLE 《金沙太子》	. 1 95 515 · · · ·	. > % £10¢0\$°	,०२/३४/०१.३%	જ ્રેડ્રેક્) 000ડેં હત્વ "	' 135 <u>0</u> 00/{	Senson.
	W01DC00069 I QC ASSOCIATED WITH 海路海岸外域海流域域。		Philos Mr ?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		⁽³ (-}78,000,33,4	ા _ક ે (શ્રેફ ફ્રિફ્ટ્રૅફ્ફ્	erste
BATCH BLANK	Total Pot. Hydrosarbone Diesel		<0.5	mg/L	05/24/01	0 000	300 000	
rcs [,,,,',	Jobi PataHydro carbone Dleagl		102 500	% Recov	05/24/01 14	, 80,000	120 000	7

NARRATIVE FOR THE APPENDED DATA OF THE 400 AREA SOILS PROJECT

Fluor Hanford Company P O Box 1970 Richland, Wa 99352

April 10, 2001

Attention Ron Del Mar

Project
Number of Samples
Sample type
SDG Number
Data Deliverable
Report Date

400 AREA Soils
Fourteen
12 Soils and 2 Aqueous Liquid
200100610 (WSCF Group #)

Summary 9, 2004

I Introduction

Twelve Soil and two aqueous samples were analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-diesel on May 4, 2001 and May 23, 2001 On December 12, 2003, an email from the client, Ron Del Mar of Environmental Field Services was received inquiring whether extended motor oil results could be extracted from original analyses in May of 2001. The original request for analysis requested only the diesel analyses, therefore only the diesel results were submitted in the original Labcore (Laboratory Information Management System) LIMS final report

The original raw data were retrieved from the data archive and reviewed to ascertain whether chromatographic conditions were appropriate to extract motor oil results from the raw data. This review indicated the appropriate conditions were used to allow the reporting of extended motor oil results from the original data.

The raw data was reprocessed through the Target Chromatographic data processing software to generate a paper report that includes the extended motor oil results. The upload2lims reports are included in this addendum with the appended motor oil results. This is the identical report uploaded to the LIMS. The needed information is parsed from this report, and stored in the LIMS system. Final concentrations for diesel, motor oil, and the surrogate QC compound, O-terphenyl, are included in the upload2lims reports. The concentration of a detected target compound is reported in the final concentration column of the upload2lims report. Non-detects have "ND" in the final concentration column. For non-detects, the usable reported concentration is the number in the Adj. MDL column for that compound rounded to two significant figures with a "U" qualifier added. All the samples in this group were non-detects for both diesel and extended motor oil. The same QC results reported with the original LIMS final report applies to this data so they will not be reissued with this addendum. Results are summarized in the table below.

Results Summary Table

Customer ID	Lab Sample ID	Final Diesel	Extended	
		Results	Motor Oil	
<u> </u>	<u>t</u> _ ·	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	
400SOIL-01	W01DC00056	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-02	W01DC00057	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-03	W01DC00058	25 U	50 U	
400SOTL-04	W01DC00059	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-05	W01DC00060	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-06	W01DC00061	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-07	W01DC00062	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-08	W01DC00063	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-09	W01DQ00064	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-10	W01DC00065	25 U	50 ป	
400SOIL-11	W01DC00066	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-12	W01D@00067	25 U	50 U	
400SOIL-13	W01DC00068	0 50 mg/L U	0 95 mg/L U	

II Comments

I certify that this date package is in compliance with the memorandum of understanding (MOU), both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above Release of the date contained in this hard copy package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature

Review and approved

Scor Fitzgerald, WSCF Laboratory Manager